

ADDENDUM NO. 1  
Request for Qualifications No. 23-115  
Design of Pedestrian Bridge over I-85

A. The following are questions received and the City of Brookhaven responses for the above referenced solicitation:

1. Work Code 3.09 Traffic Control Systems Analysis, Design and Implementation is not included in the list of required Work Codes. Should we add this code since we are identifying a principal traffic engineer?

Removed requirement for Principal Traffic Engineer. See Question 2.

2. Section IV: Project Team instructions on page 36 require that the proposers should identify and provide the resume of the principal design engineer, principal traffic engineer, geotechnical engineer, and environmental coordinator. Should we also include a principal bridge engineer or is that covered under principal design engineer?

Substitute Principal Bridge Engineer for Principal Traffic Engineer.

3. What is the allocated construction budget?

TBD

4. Does the City control all of the property that this sits on?

No.

5. Is there a desired schedule / opening date?

TBD

6. Are captions and/or graphics required to use the minimum fonts size of 12 point?

Every effort should be made to make proposals as concise as possible using a minimum font size of 12 point.

7. Are forms and GDOT prequalification excluded from the 25 page limit?

Section I through Section V will be counted as pages to a maximum of twenty-five (25) pages.

8. Does the City want DBE certification proof included in the proposal? If so, is it excluded from the page count?

If provided, DBE certification may be included in Section VI.

9. Do section dividers count towards the page limit? No.

Confirming that org chart and schedule count in the 25 pages? Yes

10. Page 36, Section IV: Project Team lists the Principal Traffic Engineer as a required resume that must be submitted. Given there are no required area classes related to traffic and the project itself if focused on pedestrians, is a Principal Traffic Engineer required for this project? If this position is not eliminated, what does the City expect to be the responsibilities of this role?

See Questions 1 & 2.

11. Page 37, Section VI: Required Forms lists 1.06(a) NEPA Documentation as a required area class. Given the project is 100% locally funded no NEPA or GEPA document would be required. Can this area class be eliminated?

While the project will be locally funded, there are potential impacts from the boardwalk to a possible wetlands environment prompting required delineation and permitting. Area Class 1.06(e) should be listed as a minimum.

12. At the time of posting this question, the RFQ document is not posted with the solicitation on Bonfire. Will responses to questions, addenda, or amendments be posted on Bonfire, or distributed elsewhere?

Responses to questions, addenda or amendments will be posted on the City Website in addition to Bonfire.

13. Page 35, Part Two - Proposal Contents states that "One 11" x 17" Organizational Chart and/or schedule will be allowed as part of the 25 pages". Are proposers allowed one 11" x17" page for each section (both org chart and schedule), or only one of these two sections?

One 11"x17" sheet will be allowed.

14. Page 41-42, Section V: Certification has an area for a signature. Is this page to be signed and included with proposals, along with the "Proposal Letter" on page 2 of the RFQ?

Yes.