

CORRECTION to question #4 from Addendum #1

1. "4. For intersections #4 & #5. Should these be removed from the proposal since the work is going to be completed by the City of Brookhaven per the plan notes?"

Lump sum pay items for intersection #4 and #5 were never provided in the Bid Schedule or summary of quantities on Drawing number 06-0001 as the work is going to be completed per the plan note on 27-0009 and 27-0011. There are seven (7) lump sum pay item 647-1000 over nine (9) project intersections shown in the plan series as identified in drawing number 06-0001 and the bid schedule. The following table may be used to identify which lump sum bid item identifies with which intersection to aid in identifying value of contractor's bid for each lump sum under 647-1000:

Pay Item No. 647-1000 Lump Sum	Project Intersection
Traffic signal installation - No. 1	Intersection No. 1 Johnson Ferry Rd/Donaldson Dr on sheets 27-0002 & 27-0003.
Traffic signal installation - No. 2	Intersection No. 2 Ashford Dunwoody Rd @ Johnson Ferry Rd on sheets 27-0004 & 27-0005.
Traffic signal installation - No. 3	Intersection No. 3 Ashford Dunwoody Rd @ Harts Mill Rd on sheets 27-0006 & 27-0007.
Traffic signal installation - No. 4	Intersection No. 6 Dresden Dr @ Fire Station on sheets 27-0012 & 27-0013.
Traffic signal installation - No. 5	Intersection No. 7 Dresden Dr @ Ellijay Dr/Caldwell Rd on sheets 27-0014 & 27-0015.
Traffic signal installation - No. 6	Intersection No. 8 Dresden Dr @ Thompson Rd on sheets 27-0016 & 27-0017.
Traffic signal installation - No. 7	Intersection No. 9 Dresden Dr @ Skyland Dr on sheets 27-0018 & 27-0019.

2. Intersection #2 (Ashford Dunwoody Rd @ John Ferry Rd), most of the work seems to done already. Will there be a revised plan set, or does this need to be priced per the plans that are provided?

No revision, price per the plans. Recent work completed was to prepare this contract to be able to proceed with project's attachment(s) to joint use poles per the Georgia Power Company permits acquired by the city in advance of this project. There was no overlap of quantities in the ITS and signal plan.

3. Is the pre-bid conference mandatory for prime bidders on this project?

No.

4. What is the requirement for General Note #23?

This media record does not have to be professionally recorded. It is not to advertise the project. The purpose of general note #23 is so the contractor and city have a before and after site condition of every frontage to private properties within the project limits. This record will be used should the situation arise to defend complaint(s) or claim(s) filed against the contractor or city due to claimed damages or unrestored conditions to the private property/public ROW. No specific media format is required but is to be delivered to the city in electronic format.